

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/790,459	03/01/	2004	Warren B. Cope	2673	2673 9953	
28004 SPRINT	7590	12/08/2010		EXAM	EXAMINER	
6391 SPRINT		ZENATI, AMAL S				
KSOPHT0101-Z2100 OVERLAND PARK, KS 66251-2100				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2614		
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/790,459	COPE, WARREN B.			
Examiner-induced interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	AMAL ZENATI	2614			
All Participants: Status of Application: After Final					
(1) <u>AMAL ZENATI</u> .	(3)				
(2) <u>David Bovitz</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: 30 November 2010	Time: <u>00:30</u>				
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicat ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicat ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	ant's representative)				
Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: None					
Claims discussed: 1 and 19					
Prior art documents discussed: None					
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:			
Part III. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar	e examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview			
/CURTIS KUNTZ/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2614					
(<i>P</i>	applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)			

Examiners initiated a telephone interview with Applicant's representative David Bovitz to discuss a proposed amendment in the light of the Applicant's Pre-Brief Conference request in order to put the claims in condition for allowance.

Agreement was reached to amend the claim 1 as the following:

to add the limitation " at a first call center, wherein the first switch is communicatively coupled to the first call center " after the limitation " programming a first switch to terminate calls directed to at least one phone number," and after the limitation at a second switch " add the limitation "communicatively coupled to a second call center."

The same amendment for claim 19.